

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,495	07/08/2003	Tomomi Kawase	93198-000517	8947
27572 75	590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			TUROCY, DAVID P	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	The second secon			.(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/615,495	KAWASE, TOMOMI				
		Examiner	Art Unit	-			
		David Turocy	1762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication are period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 July 2003.					
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for formal All Some * c) None of:	ndrawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. miner. accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawing e Examiner. Note the attached	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority docun 2. Certified copies of the priority docun 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received in a priority documents have been preau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, 14-21, 26, 27, 30 and 32, drawn to liquid jetting method by dividing the substrate, classified in class 427, subclass 421.
 - II. Claims 9-11, 22, 23, 28, 29, 31, drawn to liquid jetting method with both a non-jetting time and jetting time, classified in class 427, subclass 421.
 - III. Claims 12, 13, 24 and 25, drawn to apparatus for jetting liquid to a substrate, classified in class 118, subclass 696.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of group I and group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because Group I requires the division of the substrate into at least 2 regions and applying more liquid to one region then the other and Group II requires the use of an on/off jetting method with a non-circular substrate.
- 3. Inventions of group I and group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,495

Art Unit: 1762

Page 3

(MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by hand where as the apparatus requires a means of controlling liquid jet amount.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Inventions of group II and group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by hand where as the apparatus requires a means of controlling liquid jet amount.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Gregory Schivley on September 24, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

SHROVE P. BECK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Turocy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (571) 272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Turocy AU 1762